

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/722,356	HAARDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William K Cheung	1713	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTO-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to amendment (112403).
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2 and 4-7.
3. The drawings filed on 28 November 2000 are accepted by the Examiner.
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 - (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No. _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed _____, which has been approved by the Examiner.
 - (c) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the margin according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. _____.
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No. _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment
<input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of amendment filed November 24, 2003, claims 3, 8 have been cancelled. Claims 1-2, 4-7 are pending.
2. In view of amendment filed November 24, 2003, the objections to claims 3-7 are withdrawn. Further, the rejection of claims 1-2 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (JP405086109A) is withdrawn.

Allowances

3. Claims 1-2, 4-7 are allowed.
4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As of the date of this office action, the examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including the closest prior art of Saito et al. (JP405086109A) to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

*The invention of claims 1-2, 4-7 relates to a **process for early detection of reactor fouling** occurring during a **gas phase polymerization of olefin(s)** using a **fluidized bed reactor** having a **fluidization grid**, comprising fitting an upper part of the **fluidization grid** with devices capable of **detecting polymer agglomerates hitting said devices** and giving an indicative signal thereof, said devices being **automatically resettable** to allow a device to give a further signal in case of another encounter with an agglomerate hitting said device.*

Saito et al. (English Abstract) disclose a pair of electrode plates (detector) which are arranged on the inner wall surrounding a fluidized bed reactor to quantitatively determine the fouling of the inner wall surrounding a fluidized bed for a polymerization process. By analyzing the electrostatic capacity changes, the extent of the fouling of the inner wall is determined. Because the detection process must involve the deposition of the polymer particles onto the electrodes, the examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the claimed “devices capable of detecting the polymer agglomerates hitting said devices” is inherently possessed by Saito et al. However, Saito et al. are silent that the said devices can be “automatically reset to allow the device to give a further signal in case of another encounter with an agglomerate hitting”. Therefore, it would not be apparent to one of ordinary skill in art to use the process for early detection of reactor fouling teachings of Saito et al. to obtain the process for early detection of reactor fouling invention of claims 1-2, 4-7. The invention of claims 1-2, 4-7 is allowed.

In light of the above discussion, it is evident as to why the present claims are patentable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, and to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (703) 305-0392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to 2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5885 for regular communications and (703) 305-5885 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.



William K. Cheung

Patent Examiner

December 11, 2003